### VOLUME SEVEN, NUMBER THREE

# Women's vote could be crucial in election

### **By CATHI CARR**

Has the gender gap crossed the American border to become a significant factor in the Canadian political reality? Can the proposition that although men may vote along party lines, women may base their vote on how a candidate stands on the so-called women's issues be a determinant in the outcome of the September 4th election?

Let us look briefly at the American experience. In a 1937 Gallup Poll conducted in the United States it was shown that all issues having to do with the military or violence from defense spending to capital punishment were less likely to receive support from women as a group. The second area of traditional female/male difference is women's sympathy for the less powerful in society. Ms. magazine states that this trend translates into greater support for those issues having to do with equality, as well as those related to greater health, education and domestic spending.

In March of this year Ms. commissioned Louis Harris and Associates to design and administer a nationwide representative poll of both men and women in order to measure the gender gap nationally. The results of this poll showed that the gender gap has actually increased. Harris concludes that more than anytime in history American women in 1984 are going to vote differently than men. It is certainly no surprise, then, that the Democratic Party chose Congresswoman Geraldine Ferraro as vice-presidential candidate at its July convention — the first woman ever to be so nominated by either the Republicans or Democrats. Will this projected dramatic effect be felt as strongly here in Canada? It is difficult to say at this point. It was, however, very interesting to witness the three party leaders courting the women's vote in the nationally televised two-hour debate on women's issues. One thing was certainly obvious, all three leaders are aware of this-gender gap potential. During the debate the panel questioned the leaders on a wide range of issues which have historically burdened women such as aging in poverty, receiving only 60 per cent of the average male wage, and only token access to tradicionally male jobs. They were also questioned on issues which have socially and culturally become the major province of women, rather than the shared concerns of both women and men such as childcare, support of children following marriage breakdown and battering within relationships.

UNION

PUBLISHED BY ORGANIZED WORKING WOMEN

Each of the leaders stressed the urgency with which he would address the concerns raised during the debate; however, Mr. Mulroney and Mr. Turner refrained from making specific new commitments to women.

Mr. Turner stated he would convene a federal provincial conference on women's issues to reconcile federal and provincial labour codes. Mr. Mulroney and Mr. Broadbent both said they would require that private companies doing business with the federal government adopt the principle of equal pay for pay of equal value.

On the sensitive issue of abortion John Turner reaffirmed his support for Canada's existing abortion law relying on the view that Canadian society is 'polarized'' on the issue and that the best way to deal with two views that are philosophically irreconcilable is to maintain the status quo of the existing law. Brian Mulroney is also on record as supporting the present law. Only NDP leader Ed Broadbent supported the right of a women to choose whether or not to bear children which is the view held by 72 per cent of the Canadian public.

During the debate Ed Broadbent the leader whose party has had the most longstanding and favourable position on women's issues was acknowledged by frequent applause. It is, however, difficult to judge how reflective the audience response will prove in the forthcoming election as this audience was perceived to be more pro-feminist than the gen-

eral female electorate.

SEPTEMBER-OCTOBER 1984



## Strike brings victory

M. Phillips

**Vote for** 

women's

and

labours'

rights

After six weeks on the picket lines in front of First Canadian Place, 250 cleaners went back to their jobs victorious. Five fired strikers were rehired and important pay raises were won. Local president Emilia Silva summed up events: "We are women and we are immigrants but we can fight."

# Cleaners strike exposes contracting out dangers

#### **By WENDY ILER**

We have proven to everyone that we have courage. We proved to Canada and to Olympia York that we are women and we are immigrants but we can fight," work along with the rest of the workers.

For six weeks they walked the picket line. They stood in front of trucks; they dealt with scabs; they occupied their building. There

jobs of the cleaners. They knew that they were putting their jobs on the line when they voted to strike. Olympia York's spokesperson made it clear: "The hard fact of life is that we have a contract with (Federated employer) and any wage increase will have to come out of his hide ... if he goes down the tubes, everyone goes down with him." In the end Olympia & York, one of the richest corporations in the country, intervened and helped to settle the strike. Olympia & York holds the purse strings and affects the employment relationship in other important ways; so the Food and Service Workers has applied to the Ontario Labour Board to have Olympia & York declared an employer. A favourable decision would protect the jobs and union rights of the workers in the event of a change of cleaning contractor.

Whether women will make the gender gap a political force in Canada by supporting politicians who support women's issues remains to be seen. One thing is certain, women have a unique opportunity in this election to test the accountability of the politicians to carry out the commitments made during the campaign. If the politicians betray the trust given to them by women's votes it could portend a radical change in the Canadian political arena in the years to come.

Cathi Carr is a member of the Ontario Nurses Association Staff Union. shouted local president Emilia Silva to the jubilant meeting. Then the workers pushed back the chairs and danced in front of the TV cameras.

The meeting was the victorious end to the six-week strike of the Food and Service Workers of Canada at two of Toronto's classiest workplaces — the 72-storey First Canadian Place and the (Toronto Stock) Exchange Tower.

The 250 cleaners, most of whom are of Portuguese (Azorean) origin, voted 96 per cent to strike when they were offered a 30-cent increase to their \$5.85 wage over two years. The strike ended with a 60-cent raise: 35cents, retroactive to April 13th, the expiry date of the old agreement, and 25 cents in the second year. As well, the sick leave concessions that the employer had demanded in mid-strike bargaining were dropped, and the five strikers who had been fired over strike incidents returned to were nine arrests during the strike, and a number of picket line injuries.

The contract may be settled, but the cleaners' fight for job security continues. Like most workers who clean office buildings, First Canadian Place cleaners are not directly employed by the building owner (Olympia York); rather, they work for the company which is contracted to clean the building (Federated Building Maintenance). At 30 days notice, the cleaning contract can be terminated. Termination means that the cleaners, and their union, are out the door.

Last year at the Eaton Centre, unionized cleaners lost their jobs, and their collective agreement, and their bargaining rights, when the cleaning contractor changed. The new contractor hired new staff at lower wages.

Throughout negotiations, Federated repeatedly threatened the

As well, the union will press for legislative changes to protect the rights of all workers in "contracting-out" situations.

Wendy Iler is Vice-President of LEASWOC.

CURIOUS FACTS: THE AVERAGE CLASS ROOM HAS ONE TEACHER FOR 30 STUDENTS, ONE TEACHER TO TEACH HOW TO LIVE. THE AVERAGE PLATOON IN THE ARMY HAS FIVE TEACHERS, (LT, SGT + 3 CPLS) THEY TEACH SOLDIERS HOW TO KILL. Decese **LASI** 

<u>Commentary</u>

Commentary is a forum for debate and discussion on issues of interest to trade union women. Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of Organized Working Women.

#### **By LOIS BEDARD**

With no consultation or input from the Tory caucus, the opposition parties, teachers, and/or the public, Premier Davis has moved to fully fund Grades 11, 12, and 13 of the Catholic schools. Extending full funding to separate schools (Roman Catholic schools), at a time of declining enrolment in the public secondary schools, will further fragment the secondary schools and create demands for public tax support to other denominational schools and private schools.

On July 31, 1984, a committee of leaders of about half a dozen religious groups met in Toronto to discuss the school funding issue. The committee included members of the Jewish, Mennonite and Islamic religious communities, as well as Lutheran, United, Presbyterian and other Protestant church members. They wished to have recognized (in law) financial "justice" for those who run independent schools within government guidelines. May one assume that their sense of justice is full funding for their (religious) denominational schools?

Public funding of elementary and secondary schools is maintained at great expense to all citizens, in order to attain a dmocratic, civilized society. In a multicultural society, with diverse religious convictions, one could best promote tolerance, goodwill, understanding, and cooperation by educating all children in taxsupported schools free from religious exclusion. The separate or independent (private) schools that are tax supported should be only those separated upon proven paedogogical grounds. Religious instruction and practice can be best be taught by precept and example in the home and in the church, synagogue, temple, and/or meeting house, under the supervision of the parent and theologian of choice. Society already facilitates this practice by having Sabbath or Sunday, business regulations and tax free religious institutional lands. Premier Davis estimates that it would cost \$41 million tax dollars in the first year (September 1985) of his full funding of Grade 11 Catholic schools. Many believe that it will more likely cost an extra \$100 million a year before the proposed plan is in place. Then we have to extimate the cost

of fully funding independent schools and other denominational schools.

In this time of restraint, it is difficult to see where the extra millions will be found to publically fund such a diverse system. The Provincial Government funding of schools has gone down from 60% to less than 40% of the cost, leaving the balance to the residential property owner. One could assume that there will be curtailment of some other social service.

The last comprehensive statement we have on public funding of education from Premier Davis, dated 1971, is as follows:

"If the Government of Ontario were arbitrarily to decide to establish and maintain, out of public funds, a complete education system determined by denominational and religious considerations, such a decision would fragment the present system beyond repair, and do so to the disadvantage of all those who have come to want for their children a public school system free of denominational or sectarian character. To embark upon such a policy could not be, in reason or in justice, limited to some faiths and denied to others. Nor could it, in logic, be limited to the elementary and academic secondary school systems alone. We would invariably be obliged to proceed throughout all our educa- and their families universal access tional institutions to fragment and divide both our young people and our resources, from kindergarten through post-secondary university studies." In 1971 Ontario was under the influence of the anti-Catholic Orange Order. The Catholic voting influence in 1971 was as yet a relatively small and politically powerless sector. In 1984 there was almost a reversal of electoral power with the Catholic vote influential and in ascendency. Could this be the explanation for Premier Davis' sudden reversal on educational funding?

public to the separate school system, with an impact on both fulltime and supply teachers.

PREMIER:"Equally, we must consider the interests of our secondary school teachers. It has been a long established practice for elementary Roman Catholic school boards to have Roman Catholics constitute the large majority of the teachers they employ. In light of declining enrolments in our secondary system, it would be unacceptable and unfair to extend this practice to the new Roman Catholic secondary school system. Consequently, for a period of 10 years, Roman Catholic school boards will employ non-Catholic teachers in their secondary schools and once hired, they will be permitted to earn tenure, religion notwithstanding."

**QUESTION: Why should there be** a 10-year cap? What will happen after 10 years? Can a time limitation be placed on human rights?

QUESTION: What will the employment and promotion practices of the publicly funded separated school system be?

PREMIER: "It is my hope that the new Roman Catholic school boards will consider, in the most positive way, granting all students to publicly supported Roman Catholic schools, should such access be desired, limited only by the availability of space and the designation of assessment support."

# Changes ahead for Workers' Compensation

#### **By CATHI CARR**

Russell Ramsay, Minister of Labour, recently introduced two bills in the Legislature proposing a series of amendments to the Workers' Compensation Act. The amendments allow for a phased in program of reform, dealing with both the administrative process and benefit structure of the program. Already, Bill 99, dealing with benefit increases has received Royal Assent while Bill 101, focuing on major structural changes, is going to the Standing Committee on Resources Development for further discussion.

Effective July 1, 1984, Bill 99 provides for the following changes:

• a five per cent increase in benefit levels for pensioners, surviving spouses and dependents;

• an increase of five per cent in the covered earnings ceiling, from \$25,500 to \$26,800; and

 corresponding increases in burial and clothing allowances and minimum benefits payable.

The following are the principal changes regarding structure, administration and coverage contained in Bill 101:

• an expanded corporate board with a majority of external, parttime directors;

• an independent tripartite appeals tribunal with provision for independent medical assessors to assist the tribunal in its deliberations;

• an industrial disease standards panel to provide expert advice concerning the criteria for compensating for industrial diseases:

• an expansion of the office of workers' advisers and the creation of a new employers' advisers office, both independent of the Workers' Compensation Board; • the inclusion of domestic workers under the Act;

• provision for the payment of wages to injured workers on the first day of injury:

• protection under the Human Rights Code against employment discrimination is extended to claimants;

• a requirement that the Board divert compensation payments where the worker has been ordered by a court to make support payments:

• existing provisions related to the right of employers to require medical examinations are repealed. However, the Board will have the power to require medical examinations.

There are also significant changes to benefits; an increase in the covered earnings ceiling to \$31,500; and, revisions dealing with rehabilitation supplements for partially disabled workers. There is also provision for inflation adjustments of pre-injury earnings in computing the supplementary benefits and integrating them with Canada Pension Plan disability benefits. While the latter will be considered in computing the WCB supplement, the receipt of CPP benefits will no longer constitute a bar to receiving a WCB supplement. In addition, those older workers who are unlikely to obtain employment will be eligible for supplement equal to the level of old age security pensions.

Compensation benefits will be based on 90 per cent of the injured workers' pre-accident net earnings rather than 75 per cent of gross earnings, as under the current act, and there will be a new formula for determining entitlement of surviving spouses and dependents --- survivors' awards will comprise both a lump sum and a continuing benefit.

Cathi Carr is a member of the Ontario Nurses Association Staff Union.

**Reprinted with thanks from ONA Newsletter** 

## Harassment case appealed

Seventeen months after the Canadian Human Rights Review Triunal found a foreman and his employer guilty of sexual harassment.

# Schools in?

Premier Davis was asked by the Ontario Teachers union the following questions:

QUESTION: If there is to be a parallel school system from kindergarten to Grade 13, followed by a massive shift of Catholic students, what will happen to the public secondary classroom teacher? More than 8,000 teaching positions could transfer from the

**QUESTION:** Will separate secondary schools be allowed to control admission to their schools? Will the public secondary schools continue to be the only system to which every Ontario pupil is guaranteed access?

The Ontario Secondary School Teachers Federation should be supported by all trade unionists, in their efforts to protect the public secondary school system; in their efforts to protect their members and to ensure that none of their civil, human and legal rights enjoyed by other Ontario workers.

What do you think your union can do to help? Lois E. Bedard, BPHE, BA, M.Ed., Retired OSSTF Member.

the Federal Court of Appeal has given them the right to appeal.

In February 1983 the tribunal found the Department of National Defence complicite in the sexual harassment of Bonnie Robichaud, a cleaner on the Canadian Forces Base in North Bay. Her foreman, Dennis Brennan was found guilty of harassing and intimidating Robichaud over a four-year period.

Despite department directives that harassers would be immediately fired, Brennan has never been reprimanded and still holds his position. Robichaud, on the other hand, was stripped of her duties as a supervisor and has been transferred off the base to a make-work jobs.

Robichaud is the first woman to have filed a sexual harassment complaint while still on the job. In what has stretched into a five-year ordeal, she has been hounded by the DND, management at the base and her union local which has consistently refused to process her grievances.

Her case has been the subject of TV documentaries, several newspaper articles and has been taken up by women's committees in the labour movement (including OWW). It has even been a topic in the House of Commons.

The DND stands to lose about \$10,000 if it accepts the tribunal's decision. Yet it has spent several times that amount battling Robichaud through the courts. So much for government promises "to get tough" with sexual harassment.

# **UNION** WOMAN

Union Woman is published four times a year by Organized Working Women. The Editorial Board of the newspaper is the Executive Council of OWW.

Union Woman is free to members of OWW and is available for distribution to union locals on request.

Letters to the editor, opinion pieces and news stories should be sent to: **Organized Working Women,** 366 Adelaide Street East, Suite 426, Toronto, Ontario. M5A 3K9 Tel. 364-2161

## Bennett's domino effect Professors show restraint

**By JANICE NEWSON** Simon Fraser University voted by a sixty-forty majority to accept a 2.7 per cent cut in salary scales for 1984-85. (To be accurate, elig-

In late spring, professors at normal progress through ranks increment of about 3 per cent, so their take-home pay will remain at about the same level as in 1983-84. Nonetheless, the scale cut will

ible professors will receive their depress salary levels far into the future and establishes a precedent for future settlements.)

Echoing the views of other administrators, University President William Saywell pronounced this action an "extraordinary" demonstration of good citizenship.

## **Peace Petition Caravan Campaign Sign up for peace**

### 1. An end to Cruise missile testing in Canada.

The federal government has allowed testing of the American air-launched Cruise missile in northern and western Canada. This undermines our country's credibility as a voice for nuclear disarmament. The Cruise's size and guidance system make it difficult to detect and verify. It is another dangerous escalation in the arms race. Canada should refuse the Cruise!

## 2. The Declaration of Canada as a Nuclear Weapons-Free Zone.

Canada, as a medium-sized power, can play an important leadership role to end and reverse the arms race. Our country should be declared a nuclear weapons-free zone, which means renouncing nuclear weapons and ending the search, production, testing and transport of those weapons or their components in Canada. This would be a powerful and meaningful contribution towards a global freeze on further nuclear weapons production, something Canada regrettably voted against in the United Nations. Such a freeze would not only stop the threat of increasingly dangerous nuclear weapons but could also lead to major reductions in current nuclear stockpiles.

## 3. A Diversion of Wasteful Spending on the Arms Race into the Funding of Human Needs.

The amount spent annually on the arms race could provide basic food, water, housing, education and health services for every person in need on this planet. Canada can play a role in adapting existing technology and retraining workers to produce peaceful and socially useful products. Studies show money spent on peaceful rather than military production creates more jobs.

### 4. Ratification of the Above Three Points Through a Free Vote in Parliament.

Our politicians should take a stand for peace too. We ask that all Members of Parliament - regardless of their political party - be allowed a free vote on these critical matters in the House of Commons. While any initiative is to be encouraged, peace begins at

home Our campaign is a non-partisan, national grassroots organization of

concerned individuals and groups. The signatures we gather across the country will be taken to Ottawa in "caravans" leaving each coast later this year. They'll be delivered to Members of Parliament, to make it clear that their constituents are concerned with disarmament. To follow up, we ask that you find out where your federal leaders and candidates stand on these four points before casting your ballot.

is the time to speak up. The threat of nuclear war means we

I hesitate to criticize the action of colleagues who have struggled under the extreme threat of the Restraint Legislation passed in British Columbia last fall. Nonetheless, their action and the interpretation placed on it poses serious problems for public sector unions that are trying to resist the ideological and political-economic attack on social spending taking place in many Canadian provinces.

Firstly, implying as it does a willing assessment of priorities, the interpretation of "civic mindedness" is a smokescreen for the terror tactic employed by the very same administrators that so designated the professors actions. The administration at SFU repeatedly warned the professors that their alternative course of action, if the professors did not accept the cut, would be the laying off of faculty members.

Secondly, it is difficult to square this definition of so-called good citizenship with society's obligations to thousands of eligible students being denied access to a university education, ostensibly because of the reduced capacity created by budget cutting. Universities are labour intensive service institutions. The major portion of their budgets goes to labour costs. Agreeing to a salary cut is tantamount to agreeing to the Socred's objectives of cutting the service. Finally, to the extent that their actions may have been based on a sincere (though irrelevant) concern that professors are the "fat cats" of university workers and can afford better than others a set back in salary progression, how will their actions affect the thousands of lower-paid workers with leaner resources to defend, and lacking the "cushion" of an automatic progress-through-ranks increment?

## EDITORIAL

# Looking forward

By SUE CRAIG

The International Decade of Women is drawing to a close and Organized Working Woman has been taking stock.

We're proud of the role we've played in the last decade participating in the formation of the women's committee of the Ontario Federation of Labour, in the founding of daycare coalitions, the Equal Pay Coalition, the International Women's Day Committee and women's committees in a number of major unions in Ontario.

We feel we've had a ripple effect in the labour movement, helping union women at the grass-roots level make themselves felt and make their issues heard in their unions and in the labour councils.

As a women's organization, we have access to women seldom reached by other groups - blue- and pink-collar workers who otherwise would be submerged in male-dominated unions. This remains true even so close to the end of the international decade of women.

OWW has the blessing of the organized labour movement, but is not beholden to it, being a membership organization and one that welcomes members from outside the mainstream labour centrals. That means OWW has access to teachers, nurses and university faculty associations.

And we have a unionist perspective not available from any other source to our members in some of the tradiationally female occupations not yet affiliated with central labour bodies. OWW sees a continuing need to provide education at the grass-roots level and plans to maintain our successful program of skills building workshops in such areas as stewardship, contract enforcement, public speaking, rules of order, and contract bargaining.

Women have made great gains in and outside the labour movement in the past decade. Most recently, the guarantee of a fixed number of women vice presidents in both the OFL and the Canadian Labour Congress are noteworthy.

OWW is uniquely well placed to bring together women from a wide sector of society to discuss the next decade of the women's movement: where we have come from, where we are, where we should be headed and how to get there.

We feel there is a need for women who, in many cases, already hold leadership positions in their unions to get together on what might be termed neutral ground and do some brain-storming on our future.

We are proposing a residential seminar over a January weekend that, while it would not exclude grass-roots participation, would be pitched to those female leaders of the labour movement who feel a need to consult and relate to one another as women and to plan our strategies for the future.

The theme would be the twin controversies swirling around the equal pay for work of equal value and affirmative action issues. Are they in conflict or part of the same thing? If there are different ways of achieving equality, can we work on both, or should we concentrate on one?

This should also be an opportunity for new or emerging leaders to begin to blossom. A residential workshop, with fewer highprofile resource people and more time for participants to speak their piece, should lead to innovative solutions to our areas of concern.

Ultimately, this residential seminar should be a key element in the building of one of OWW's most ambitious ideas yet: the convening of a major event in May to mark the end of the International Decade of Women and set strategies for the next decade — (1985-1995).

This event, if we can swing it --- and we are confident we can --would bring together in a very public way all the sectors that were involved 10 years ago in advocacy of women's issues and the launch of the women's decade - labour, the academic world, welfare and unemployed groups and professionals. Such a meeting will be a unique opportunity to build the leaders and coalitions needed to take us into the 1990s. OWW intends to continue connecting the grass-roots women in the labour movement with their leaders and vice-versa through UNION WOMAN, our respected newspaper. UNION WOMAN is the only women's union publication in Canada. It has been produced by and for union women over the last eight years. The material is topical and comprehensive, providing background and insight into the women's movement and the broader community. Topics are solicited from the membership and women at large. It is widely acclaimed by brothers and sisters in the union movement.

may never get another chance. If you think peace is worth working for, join the Peace Petition Caravan Campaign. Please sign and mail the petition card below to:

> PPCC-Toronto Office, 736 Bathurst St., Toronto, Ont. M5S 2R4 [416] 534-5762

ومحمد والمراجعين التكن فكرو فكرو التكر والتر أكرك الت

## I have read and support the four points of the Peace Petition Caravan Campaign.

Name (Please Print):	Phone:	
Address:		
City:	Province:	
Postal Code:	Signature:	
I want to see the Campaign gro	w. Enclosed is my contribution of:	
□\$10 □\$25 □\$50 □\$100	□\$200 □ Other \$	
Cheque or money order	made payable to the:	
PEACE PETITION CARAVAN CAMPAIGN		
🗆 Visa 🗆 MasterCard Card	#	
Valid from	to	
I'd like to get involved.	Send me more Campaign information.	

We will not have to wait long, if at all, to see the weight of the professors' good citizenship lowered onto the backs of cleaning staff, secretaries, part-time course directors and others.

Unfortunately, the professors' reasoning is not uncommon. And through it, the political objectives of fiscal restraint reverberate through the occupational hierarchy, without their originators having to lift another finger.

Janice Newson is chairperson of the York University Staff Assn.

Another new initiative this year is the establishment of a union women clearing house, a calendar service designed to help those who plan events for women, to avoid conflicts. Our plan is to maintain a calendar in our office and invite organizations of interest to women to consult us before, and advise us after. planning a meeting or demonstration. Thus we will provide a valuable link between organizations and help them avoid booking competing events.

If you have activities or events that you wish us to attend or to note in our next issue of UNION WOMAN, contact us at our Office: Suite 426, 366 Adelaide St., East, Toronto M5A 3X9 or phone: 364-2161.

Sue Craig is OWW president and secretary of Local 87 SONG.



September 3	Labour Day Parade
September 8	<b>OWW Yard Sale</b> 113 Madison Ave. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.
September 12-13	<b>Workshop production</b> entitled "The Department" sponsored by VDT Committee Labour Council, Metro Toronto, at the Ralph Thornton Centre. Tickets: \$5 each, obtained through Labour Council. phone 441-3663
September 17	Dr. Morgentaler jury trial in Toronto
September 22	<b>OWW Membership Meeting</b> OPSEU Building, Yonge & Davisville, 9 a.m. to noon. Educational theme to be announced. Lunch to be provided
October 18-20	<b>Conference, "Controlling Technology"</b> Sheraton Centre, Labour Council, Metro Toronto phone 441-3663
November 17	<b>OWW Skills Building Workshop</b> "Building a Women's Committee That Works" OPSEU Building, Yonge & Davisville, 9 a.m. to noon. Lunch to be provided.
November 18-22	Ontario Federation of Labour Convention Sheraton Centre, Toronto
January 4-6	OWW Residential Seminar
March	International Women's Day Celebrations
Мау	OWW weekend event, "Women's Next Decade"

# Guild takes Star to HRB

union are trying to prove that the union hopes to show emequal pay for work of equal value is guaranteed by the Ontario Human Rights Code, even though it's not spelt out.

The 17 women, classified advertising solicitors for the Toronto Star, say they are being paid as much as \$200 less than their mostly male counterparts on the outside sales force merely because they are all women.

The complaint was filed Aug. 14, a day before the federal leaders' debate of women's issues, with the support of OWW, the National Action Committee on the Status of Women (NAC) and the Ontario Federation of Labour Women's Committee.

A spokesperson for the com-

ployers cannot pay women less than they are worth merely be segregating them from men in their job categories.

Sue Craig, secretary of the local, and President of OWW, said the union had analysed the jobs of solicitor, a classification in which there are no men, and advertising salesperson, which is 75-per-cent male and has historically been all male.

"As far as we can tell, the jobs are of equal value according to the skill, effort, responsibility and working conditions involved in them," she told a news conference Aug. 14.

Solicitor Laura Freve said workers in both classifications are plainants' union, the Southern active salespersons (as opposed

A group of women and their Ontario Newspaper Guild, said to the ad-takers who respond to calls from the public), with their own territories and contacts.

The methods of sales are different, with the solicitors selling by phone while the salespeople meet their clients in person, Freve said.

The salespeople have to be able to drive and supply their own cars (receiving compensation for mileage), Freve said. But they do not have to enter their ads on the Star's computer, whereas the solicitors enter not only their own ads but also those of the outside sales force.

Not only that, but the solicitors sell a greater dollar value of advertising than the salespersons, Lynne Westlake, another solicitor, told the news conference.

"We're not leaning too heavily on that point," Craig said. "If the value of the job rested on the amount of revenue it brought in directly, we reporters would have to pay for our jobs.

"But it's an interesting footote to the case."

## It's time to show your support for OWW

**By JEFF ROSE** National President, CUPE and Chairperson, OWW 1984 Financial Campaign

Have you ever moved a motion at your local union meeting to contribute to Organized Working Women's annual fundraising campaign? Or made a personal donation? These are questions we should be asking ourselves this fall, as Organized Working Women launches its fourth annual financial campaign.

It was with great pride that I agreed to chair this year's fundraising campaign. I have been an avid supporter of OWW for many years now. And I firmly believe that we have a moral and financial responsibility to support this unique organization that brings together trade union women from more than twenty-five different unions. OWW provides an important opportunity for union women from the public and private sectors to meet in a friendly and supportive atmosphere to discuss common problems, hammer out strategies, and provide support to press on.



Since its founding in 1976, Organized Working Women has sponsored many membership activities to meet its twin goals of bringing more women unionists into trade union activity and achieving more women's demands through collective bargaining. In addition to publishing a quarterly newspaper called Union Woman and organizing an annual educational conference each spring, OWW is also involved in a variety of other activities. It contributes to the development of female

**JEFF ROSE** 

unionists through skills building workshops on such topics as parliamentary procedure, public speaking, stewards training collective bargaining, and how to produce a newsletter. It has organized solidarity actions for dozens of strikes over the years. As well, OWW has made its presence felt in many union and community activities ranging from demonstrations on unemployment, cutbacks, and interest rates on parades on International Women's Day and Labour Day.

Organized Working Women was founded in Toronto. Over time, however, union women from across the province have been attracted to specific activities and have joined the organization. In recent years, OWW has been invited to organize events in Ottawa, Guelph and Peterborough. Our financial support will help OWW to expand its membership and eventually establish chapters in other Ontario cities.

While we in the trade union movement have reason to be proud of making strides toward women's equality, we certainly cannot sit back and consider the job complete. We still have a long way to go. How many of us can claim full female involvement in local union affairs? How many of us have even proportional representation of women in union leadership positions? How many of us have negotiated fully paid maternity leave, equal rights for part-timers, protections against sexual harassment, equal pay for work of equal value, or affirmative action, to name just a few of the more obvious contract demands for female equality.

We will continue to need a group like Organized Working Women until we do achieve full equality for women within the trade union movement. And OWW will continue to need our financial support for as long as it takes to achieve this goal. Government grants and membership dues are not sufficient to cover the costs of OWW's ambitious activities. I hope you can dig deep to contribute to this year's financial campaign. As well, I urge you to raise a motion of financial support at your next local meeting. (Copies of Union Woman can be made available if you would like to show your membership examples of OWW's ongoing work.) Let's demonstrate our strong support for equal rights for trade union women with a large financial contribution to OWW. After all, it is the only membership organization in Ontario exclusively devoted to this goal.



Membership in OWW is open to all women who are members of a bona fide collective bargaining unit or a bona fide organizing committee of a union. Membership is \$15.00 per vear.

To join OWW, send in this application form with proof of union membership to the OWW office, address below.

Name
Address
Telephone
Union Local

**Organized Working Women,** 366 Adelaide Street East, Suite 426, Toronto, Ontario M5A 3K9 **Telephone 364-2161** 

If you are not eligible to join OWW, you are invited to subscribe to UNION WOMAN at \$10.00 per year. ي و و و بين و و بين و و بين و و و ف و و بين ي و و بين ي و و بين و و و

## Morgentaler goes to trial

On July 20, 1984 Associate Chief Justice William Parker of the Ontario Supreme Court dismissed a motion by Dr. Henry Morgentaler's lawyer that the abortion charges violate the Charter of Rights and Freedoms. The ruling means that Drs. Morgentaler Scott and Smoling will go on trial September 17 to face charges of procuring an abortion.

Naomi Duguid, of the law firm Sack, Charney, Goldblatt and Mitchell, who is handling the case on behalf of the solicitors and the union, said that as far as she knows this is the first time a human rights code has been used in Canada to claim equal pay for work of equal value.

There are favorable precedents in the United States, she said. In Canada, equal pay for work of equal value is guaranteed by the federal and Quebec employment standards laws, but not by other provincial employment laws.

Craig said the gross pay gap between the two categories, coupled with the fact the lowerpaid group is all female, is evidence of discrimination in employment on account of sex, a prohibited act under the code.

If the commission agrees, the remedy will be up to it, she said.